



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/760,507                       | 01/21/2004       | Kenji Tochigi        | 2004-0079A          | 1477             |
| 513 . 759                        | 90 09/29/2004    |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. |                  |                      | JARRETT, RYAN A     |                  |
| 2033 K STREET<br>SUITE 800       | Γ N. W.          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| WASHINGTON                       | N, DC 20006-1021 |                      | 2125                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   |  |   | I. Y.  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--------|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |        |  |  |
|   | 10/760,507   | TOCHIGI ET AL.  |        |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |        |  |  |
| -   | Ryan A. Jarrett  | 2125  |        |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br/>Period for Reply</li> </ul>  | pears on the cover sheet w   | th the correspondence address   |        |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AE | eply be timely filed<br>ty (30) days will be considered timely.<br>ITHS from the mailing date of this communic<br>BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation. |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |        |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.  | January 2004.  |   |        |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi   | s action is non-final.   |   |        |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |   |        |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |        |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  | •   |        |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | awn from consideration.  |   |        |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |        |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are  |  | hierted to by the Examiner  |        |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |  |   |        |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | 21(d). |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | xaminer. Note the attached   | d Office Action or form PTO-152   | 2.     |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |        |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the cer | nts have been received.<br>Its have been received in A<br>prity documents have been<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | pplication No received in this National Stage   |        |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |        |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |        |  |  |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  |   |        |  |  |
| D   |  |   |        |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/760,507

Art Unit: 2125

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by the limitation "so that the moving length amount per predetermined time of the material becomes a set value that

is set in advance". Furthermore, this appears to be an intended result/intended use

limitation, and therefore it would not appear to carry patentable weight, or to further limit

the aforementioned control device. It is understood that the control device feedback-

controls the tension-applying device based on a measurement result of the measuring

means, but it is not clear how the limitation in question further limits this control device.

However, as best understood, Nordgren teaches this feature (see below). In

Nordgren, an operator enters a length set-point value into a computer a web tension

feedback controlling controls the web tension based on an error between the set-point

and an actual length measurement.

Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1 and incorporate the same deficiencies.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nordgren U.S. Patent No. 5,813,587. Nordgren discloses a feedback controlled tension applying system, comprising: a material processing device that processes a material in continuous sheet form while applying a tension to the material (e.g., col. 2 lines 53-55); a tension applying device that applies the tension to the material (e.g., col. 3 lines 63-64); a measuring means for measuring a moving length amount per predetermined time of the material (e.g., col. 2 line 60 – col. 3 line 19); and a control device that feedback-controls said tension applying device (e.g., col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 10) based on a measurement result of said measuring means so that the moving length amount per predetermined time of the material becomes a set value that is set in advance (e.g., col. 3 lines 29-39, col. 4 lines 17-28);

wherein said measuring means comprises: a measuring roll that rotates in contact with the material; and an encoder that detects an amount regarding a rotation angle of said measuring roll, and wherein said measuring means measures the moving length amount per predetermined time of the material based on the amount regarding the rotation angle of said measuring roll detected by said encoder (e.g., col. 2 line 60 – col. 3 line 19);

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 4, 7, and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art obtained by the examiner fails to teach or fairly suggest a balance weight that moves on an arm in two directions along the arm by control of a control device, in combination with the remaining features and elements of the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,507

Art Unit: 2125

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L-P.P.

Ryan A. Jarrett Examiner Art Unit 2125

9/18/04

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100